

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,135	02/02/2004	C. Dwayne Fulton	506558-0043	9667
27910	7590 12/06/2005		EXAM	INER
STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP			MAZUMDAR, SONYA	
ATTN: PATE	ENT GROUP UT STREET, SUITE 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KANSAS CITY, MO 64106-2150			1734	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i></i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
065 - 4 - 4' 0	10/770,135	FULTON, C. DWAYNE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sonya Mazumdar	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	February 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
·		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure		received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not i	eceiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/770,135 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 8-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on October 14, 2005.

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 3 (shown in Figure 3). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 1734

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites the limitation "overlap the edge" in the 3<sup>rd</sup> line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/770,135 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papadakis (US 2939242) in view of Davis (US 4395263).

Papadakis teaches a method of applying decalcomania to various surfaces of models including cars. The decal is a one-piece construction fitted to completely cover the formed surface and provided with various insignia on its surface. The decal can be cut from a large sheet to provide for a fitted decal (abstract; column 1, lines 49-52; column 2, lines 3-8; column 3, lines 62-64; column 4, lines 44-48).

Papadakis does not teach a method of using a sheet holding a transferable image, which is of a size to envelope the vehicle and overlap the edge. Davis teaches a method of applying a decorative laminate structure. Flat sheet stock laminate structures undergo transfer printing and are then formed to the shape of the article using various mechanical processes. The laminate structure can be fit to cover the entire article and overlie the edge (Figure 1; column 1, lines 7-9; column 6, lines 34-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a sheet holding a transferable image, which is of a size to envelope the vehicle and overlap the edge. One would have been motivated to do so to maintain a flexible structure used for complete decoration of various toy vehicles.

Art Unit: 1734

With respect to claim 3, Papadakis teaches a method of applying decalcomania, with the base color of the model and the decal including the necessary insignia or patterns upon that surface (column 1, lines 49-57).

With respect to claim 4, Papadakis does not teach a method of applying an image with an opaque background comprising of a pigmented adhesive. Davis teaches a base layer of polyester resin binder layer comprising white pigment (abstract; column 1, lines 52-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have an opaque background comprising a pigmented layer. One would have been motivated to do so to enhance the final article's color intensity and depth.

With respect to claim 5, Papadakis teaches a method of applying decalcomania of a non-repetitive pattern (Figure 3 and 11).

With respect to claim 6, Papadakis teaches cutting the decal sheet into further smaller pieces to be applied onto the surface of the model (column 3, line 71 – column 4, line 5).

With respect to claim 7, Papadakis teaches a method of applying decalcomania to the constructed surfaces of model cars (column 1, lines 15-18).

10. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papadakis as applied to claim 1 above in view of Davis, and further in view of Watanabe et al. (US 6022438)

The teachings of claim 1 are as described above. The difference between claim 2 and Papadakis in view of Davis is the method of using wet transfer. Watanabe et al.

Art Unit: 1734

teaches a method of where liquid pressure is used to apply a transfer print. An article comes into contact with a transfer film floated and advanced on a liquid surface (abstract; column 9, lines 3-7).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a wet transfer method to apply images on a surface. One would have been motivated to do so to eliminate or minimize pattern-missing and/or distortion.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Mazumdar whose telephone number is (571) 272-6019. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Songa Mozemdo

Art Unit: 1734

Page 7

SM

CHRIS FIORILLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AU 1734